

1 **MINUTES OF THE CITY OF LAKE MARY, FLORIDA, PLANNING AND ZONING**
2 **BOARD MEETING HELD NOVEMBER 24, 2009, 6:00 P.M., CITY HALL, 100 N.**
3 **COUNTRY CLUB ROAD**

4
5 **TAPE 1, SIDE A**

6 I. Call to Order

7 The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m.

8 II. Moment of Silence

9 III. Pledge of Allegiance

10 IV. Roll Call - Determination of a Quorum

11 The following members were present:

12 Dr. Robert Hawkins, Chairman
13 Vice Chairman Colleen Taylor
14 Member Sidney Miller
15 Member J.B. Cartmill, II
16 Alternate Joe Schofield

17
18 Member Mike Napier was absent.

19
20 City staff present were Juan (John) A. Omana, Jr., Community Development
21 Director; Gary Schindler, City Planner; Steve Noto, Planner; and Diana T.
22 Adams, Administrative Secretary.

23
24 Also present who spoke were Brent Carli and Terry Shaw.

25
26 V. Approval of Planning and Zoning Board Minutes - September 22, 2009

27
28 **MOTION:**

29
30 **Member Miller moved to approve the Minutes of the Planning and Zoning**
31 **Board meeting of September 22, 2009, as presented. Member Cartmill**
32 **seconded the motion. Member Napier was absent. The motion carried**
33 **unanimously 5-0.**

34
35 VI. Old Business

1 None

2
3 VII. New Business

4
5 A. 2009-RZ-08: Recommendation to the Mayor and City Commission for a change
6 in zoning from R-1A (Residential) to PO (Professional Office) for property
7 located at 122 N. 5th Street, Lake Mary, Florida; Applicant: Brent Carli for D & B
8 Carli, LLC
9

10 Gary Schindler, City Planner, presented Item A. and the related Staff Report. The
11 Location Map attached to the Staff Report was on the overhead projector. He said,
12 years ago, the City Commission had a vision for the area between Seminole
13 Avenue and Lake Mary Boulevard, and that vision would be that the residences
14 would either be torn down and replaced with -- or be converted to office. And so,
15 they gave the area, beginning at 5th Street and going west almost to -- well, at least
16 to Crystal Lake Avenue, they gave it Office land use. And so, the intent is that as
17 buildings -- or as residences are no longer used for residences, they will become
18 office. And so, we have a situation with Mr. Carli. There is a single-family
19 residence on the property that is going to be demolished. He owns the property to
20 the south. He plans to join them and he plans to build an office building -- a larger
21 office building, and as such, the R-1A zoning of the subject property is being
22 requested to be changed to PO.
23

24 Mr. Schindler stated, staff has looked at the criteria and everything supports it, and
25 it goes without saying that when we come to the site plan, there will be no direct
26 access to the subject property from Seminole Avenue.
27

28 Mr. Schindler concluded his presentation by saying, that's it. You know, you've got
29 the criteria, A, B, C, D; Findings of Fact is that they all support the request, and if
30 you have any questions, I'll be happy to address them.
31

32 Juan (John) A. Omana, Jr., Community Development Director, announced that this
33 hearing is quasi judicial in nature; that there was a Quasi-Judicial Sign-In Sheet
34 located at the rear of the chambers for any interested party to sign in order to be
35 kept abreast of this matter.
36

37 Chairman Hawkins requested the Applicant to come forward and address the
38 Board.
39

40 Brent Carli with D & B Carli, LLC, 285 Lakeshore Drive, Lake Mary, Florida 32746.
41 He said that his wife, Debbie, is the D of the B. He pointed out that the Staff Report
42 is incorrect where it says B & D in that it should read D & B.
43

1 Mr. Omana so noted that correction.
2

3 Mr. Carli put a proposed site plan diagram that is not attached to the Staff Report
4 on the overhead projector. He stated, we have looked at this a bunch of different
5 ways and what we would like to do is beautify and enhance almost the City Centre
6 corridor, and what I mean by that is we're, what, some 200 feet from the Downtown
7 Lake Mary entrance sign here (indicating) at 4th Street. We are just not even a
8 block away, if you will, from what all is going on thanks to the crew that just walked
9 in and all the hard work that Mr. Shaw has been doing down there. However, we
10 have run into – because we have 2000 – or 1990 ordinances and codes with 1910
11 plotting – platting in the City of Lake Mary -- my lot, at the front, is 66 feet wide. The
12 lot we purchased in the back is 99' x 126'. It has given us about 20,000 square
13 feet. Asking for about 20 variances, we can build a 5,000 square-foot building back
14 there where you see that parking lot (indicating), and that is not really something we
15 would like to do. And so, making this beginning – I think maybe we're 15 years
16 ahead of ourselves – making this the beginning of your downtown area and to
17 beautify it and make it look nice and increase the tax base – and this is my
18 corporate headquarters for Carli Insurance/Carli Financial, something my son who
19 is now taking over. You remember him from days gone by when he was about five
20 or six years old (Chairman Hawkins nodding his head affirmatively)? So, he is now
21 running it and using me for a sounding board and the money to invest in this. But,
22 with one criteria we are going to see in the future I need some help. It's just not
23 rezoning. That is just not the issue. What I'm going to need assistance with from
24 the City is absolutely one variance. And, I get that out front. I mean, that's the type
25 of person I am. I am going to let you know what I am going to have to ask for to
26 make this work. To build a 3,000 square-foot building, I need to go from the 25-foot
27 variance for a setback off of 5th to 15. Now, I know that may be a little bit out of the
28 realm of what this conversation is supposed to be about tonight with rezoning, but I
29 want to share with you that when we come back with a real site plan, what the one
30 challenge that we realize is really going to be – of one challenge, of more parking
31 that we need for that building size, and we want to try to do everything we can to
32 make it nice. So, if your mindset, honestly – now, this is a bad way to say this – if
33 your mindset is not to think that you can give a 15-foot setback for the building,
34 please don't vote for this. Don't do it because it just doesn't work without it. I
35 appreciate your consideration. If you have questions, I'm here, but thank you very
36 much and thank you for volunteering to the City of Lake Mary.
37

38 Chairman Hawkins opened the hearing to public comment. Hearing none, he
39 closed that portion and entertained board discussion and/or a motion.
40

41 Chairman Hawkins asked Mr. Schindler if he has discussed the future variance
42 request with Mr. Carli.
43

1 Mr. Schindler answered, I am aware that he will need one or more variances to
2 make the site plan work; yes.

3
4 Mr. Omana added, I have also discussed this matter with Mr. Carli.

5
6 Chairman Hawkins questioned, are these variances going to be workable or.....
7

8 Mr. Omana responded, well, the variance criteria, as you know, is based on
9 hardship, and what we will have to do is look at the site plan and review the merits
10 of that at that time, but I can tell you that in looking at that site plan tonight for the
11 first time, there are a couple of unique items that I identify. First of all, it is the City
12 Commission's directive that there be no access on Seminole and to preserve, to the
13 fullest extent possible, the residential character on the back side of the property,
14 something certainly that we will have to factor into this whole review. In addition,
15 the existing building, as it is laid out, has certain nonconforming components of use;
16 namely, the parking and the circulation of the area. That is something as well that
17 is being improved upon in this particular proposal. So, basically, taking it from a
18 nonconforming component of use and bringing it into conformance, I would say is a
19 positive. So, at minimum, those are two factors that we would certainly take a look
20 at in the deliberations on whether the project meets the criteria or doesn't meet the
21 criteria. But, I certainly applaud Mr. Carli on his issue to keep the residential nature,
22 buffered area in the back of the property and also, you know, keeping his corporate
23 headquarters in the City.

24
25 **MOTION:**

26
27 **Member Cartmill moved to recommend approval to the Mayor and City**
28 **Commission the request by Brent Carli for D & B Carli, LLC, for a change in**
29 **zoning from R-1A (Residential) to PO (Professional Office) for property**
30 **located at 122 N. 5th Street, Lake Mary, Florida, consistent with staff's**
31 **Findings of Fact listed in the Staff Report. Member Miller seconded the**
32 **motion.**

33
34 Member Miller asked, his is about a block and-a-half out of Downtown Centre;
35 right?

36
37 Mr. Omana answered, approximately; yes, sir.

38
39 Member Miller questioned, and like the setback issue would be the same as
40 Downtown Centre; right?

41
42 Mr. Omana responded, separate setbacks. Technically, this parcel is not in the
43 Downtown area.

1 Member Miller asked, if it were in Downtown Centre, it would be in compliance
2 though; right?
3

4 Mr. Omana replied, it would be in compliance; yes, sir.
5

6 Vice Chairman Taylor commented, I think this is a good idea. Unfortunately, not
7 knowing enough about the setback issue or the variance, I don't want it to be taken
8 as an anticipatory, you know, agreement to anything or anything in the future. It
9 really has to stand alone on – at least for me -- stand alone on rezoning and stand
10 alone on variance. But, I think this part looks good and I think it is a good effort to
11 where – you know, where we're trying to go.
12

13 Chairman Hawkins concurred with Vice Chairman Taylor. He said, that is why I
14 asked Mr. Omana if the potential variances are workable. So, you know, if those
15 are all positives, then I think we ought to move forward on this recommendation.
16

17 **Member Napier was absent. The motion carried unanimously 5-0.**
18

19 Mr. Omana announced that this item will tentatively move forward to the City
20 Commission's December cycle; that staff will let Mr. Carli know the exact time and
21 date.
22

23 B. 2009-ZTA-01: Recommendation to the Mayor and City Commission for a
24 Zoning Text Amendment regarding proposed revisions to § 154.09 (Definitions)
25 and §154.13 (Alcoholic Beverage Establishments), City Code of Ordinances;
26 Applicant: City of Lake Mary/ Community Development Department
27

28 Gary Schindler, City Planner, presented Item B. and the related Staff Report. He
29 said, let me put this proposed Zoning Text Amendment into perspective. There
30 are basically three goals; one is right now we have two categories of restaurants,
31 family restaurants and restaurants, both of which can serve alcohol. Family
32 restaurants do not have separation requirements. Other restaurants do. We
33 plan to merge those two into one category. Two, we plan to – in the Downtown
34 Centre, the zoning district, which is only within the Downtown Development
35 District land use, we plan to make restaurants so that they would have no
36 separation from churches, schools, or from other restaurants. And three, we plan
37 to – we propose to have a new category called retail establishments with
38 ancillary sales of alcohol. And, you say, what in the world is that? Well, the best
39 thing -- example -- I can give two examples; one would be a wine shop. They
40 sell retail wine. They sell packaged wine. Some of them want to be able to have
41 a tasting night and you would pay so much. Well, if you sell – if you charge
42 anything for alcohol, you are selling by the drink, on-premises consumption. So,
43 this would be one example, and then another example would be a cigar bar.

1 We've had a cigar – we have at least one cigar bar in HIBC, in Park Place at
2 Heathrow, that sells beer and wine. Now, alcohol beverage requirements in the
3 DRI for HIBC differ just enough that we can already permit that, but for the rest of
4 the City, it doesn't. So, we want to make the City a level playing field. So, these
5 are the bases for the proposed revisions.
6

7 Mr. Schindler stated, now, thanks to Mr. Cartright, he noticed something that staff
8 was not aware of (Steve Noto, Planner, hands out Page 5 of 8/see attached).
9 Under the definitions on Page 5 – actually this is under SEMIANNUAL
10 AVERAGE PERCENTAGE OF ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE SALES. We still had
11 language that referred to reporting to the Florida Department of Alcoholic
12 Beverage and Tobacco. Per Mr. Cartright, restaurants, bars no longer have to
13 report. So, I have changed the language as you will see on the page that was
14 handed out to you (proceeds to read aloud the changes on Page 5 of 8/see
15 attached).
16

17 Mr. Schindler concluded his presentation emphasizing that if you are outside of
18 the Downtown, you still have the same separation requirements, and if you are in
19 the Downtown, you don't have any separation requirements.
20

21 Juan (John) A. Omana, Jr., Community Development Director, added, part of the
22 rationale also in moving forward with this, as Mr. Schindler highlighted, is really to
23 push forward the economic development and the revitalization effort in the
24 Downtown. As you can see, what has transpired on 4th Street here with the
25 efforts of the Shaws and their team, some very nice uses are going in there. As
26 the redevelopment of the Downtown moves forward with additional buildings
27 along the corridor, or anywhere else in the Downtown, we believe there is going
28 to be a higher propensity, as I pointed out in the memo, to have, you know, the
29 higher-end-type restaurant uses go in. And, we believe that it would be
30 appropriate to raise the percentages accordingly to allow for these type of uses
31 to go in and allow a certain level of flexibility. Mr. Schindler and I have been in
32 contact with the City of Winter Springs, also the City of Winter Park, and they are
33 pursuing additional modifications to their respective downtown codes to allow
34 things along the similar line that we are pursuing. So, you know, as a bottom-line
35 issue, I think this is favorable with respect to the Downtown revitalization, in what
36 we're trying to do.
37

38 Mr. Schindler added, and so is the City of Sanford. The City of Sanford requires
39 1200 feet of separation, and they are proposing that within the Downtown any
40 establishment that sells alcohol, whether it be a bar or whether it be a restaurant,
41 there will be no separation requirement.
42

1 Member Miller asked, if they opened the last day of May, would it be a two-day
2 period?

3
4 Mr. Schindler replied, it would be January through June, so it would be a 32-day
5 period. We want to have them all come in at one time, but we will be as flexible
6 as possible.

7
8 Member Cartmill questioned, was this being done previous? Because it says in
9 the previous code that you were using this percentage to calculate, I guess, the
10 definition of what a restaurant and what a regular bar is.

11
12 Mr. Schindler answered, it is supposed to be done.

13
14 Member Cartmill asked, and it will be done now?

15
16 Mr. Schindler responded, it will be done now.

17
18 Member Cartmill questioned, and that goes for throughout the City?

19
20 Mr. Schindler replied, yes; uh-huh.

21
22 Vice Chairman Taylor pointed out to Mr. Schindler that on Page 5 of 8 (see
23 attached) under §154.13 (A) (3) he also references the Florida Department of
24 Business regulation, Division. It probably should -- the report consists of those
25 documents which state the monthly gross sales -- you may have to tweak that to
26 say what you want.

27
28 Mr. Schindler said, ah, thank you. Yes, if you will give us that flexibility, we will
29 do so.

30
31 Chairman Hawkins opened the hearing to public comment.

32
33 Terry Shaw, Shaw Construction and Management Services, Inc., 386 Pine Tree
34 Road, Lake Mary, Florida 32746, came forward in favor of the proposed Zoning
35 Text Amendment. He stated, I just wanted to get up and say what our people are
36 telling us. They are looking forward to having restaurants that have liquor and
37 different things, so that's why we're starting to pursue this. Besides Pierre's, we
38 are looking at doing the new wine store in our building. But, I think this would be
39 a nice addition, and I think we are always going to keep doing revisions, as you
40 know, to keep modifying our downtown, but we strongly support it and I think the
41 people do, too.

1 Chairman Hawkins closed the public comment portion of the hearing and
2 entertained board discussion and/or a motion.

3
4 **MOTION:**

5
6 **Member Cartmill moved to recommend approval to the Mayor and City**
7 **Commission the request by City of Lake Mary/ Community Development**
8 **Department for a Zoning Text Amendment regarding proposed revisions to §**
9 **154.09 (Definitions) and §154.13 (Alcoholic Beverage Establishments), City**
10 **Code of Ordinances, consistent with staff's Findings of Fact listed in the Staff**
11 **Report and subject to the revisions on page 5 (see attached) and further**
12 **tweaking the language on page 5 under § 154.13 (A) (3) as recommended by**
13 **Vice Chairman Taylor deleting the reporting requirement that the reports**
14 **need to come from the State of Florida. Member Miller seconded the motion.**
15 **Member Napier was absent. The motion carried unanimously 5-0.**

16
17 Chairman Hawkins commented, I certainly think this is a great idea, and I would
18 anticipate that as the Downtown Centre grows and develops, that there will be more
19 tweaking of things like this. It's good to have nice businesses, especially like
20 Pierre's and other restaurants.

21
22 Mr. Schindler noted that when he looked at when this section was adopted, it has
23 been on the books for 15 years. It's in need of review and revision.

24
25 Mr. Omana announced that this item will tentatively move forward to the City
26 Commission's December cycle.

27
28 C. 2008-ZTA-07: Recommendation to the Mayor and City Commission for a
29 Zoning Text Amendment regarding the adoption of a new R-1B Single Family
30 Zoning District; Applicant: City of Lake Mary/Community Development
31 Department

32
33 Gary Schindler, City Planner, presented Item C. and the related Staff Report. He
34 said, in Spring 2007, the City, after working with Seminole County Board of
35 Elections for months, had a mail ballot annexation referendum and it passed and
36 Huntington Pointe 4A, B and C became part of the City. This was the
37 culmination of years of discussions about will it, want it, how best to do it, are we
38 going to do it, are we not going to do it. And so, as required, we annexed this
39 property with its Seminole County land use and zoning. Now, initially, we thought
40 that there was – there used to be a two-year window in which you had to change.
41 Well, that was dropped, so that took some of the pressure off of us. Changing
42 the land use was easy. We made this Low Medium Density Residential land use,
43 which is the same as the land use designation for Huntington Pointe 1, 2 and 3.

1 Then, we got the bright idea, well, heck, why not just make this PUD like the rest
2 of Huntington Pointe. So, we proposed a revision to the PUD and we brought
3 this to you and you said, hey, sounds good to us, and we took it to the City
4 Commission and the attorney said, whoa, guys, you're jumping the gun here.
5 You got to have the signatures of every property owner in order to do this. And,
6 we said what? We said, okay. So, we decided we'd regroup. And, if we couldn't
7 do a PUD, then the next best thing was to create a unique zoning category
8 because the homes in Huntington Pointe 4 do not comply with any city zoning
9 category. We didn't create a unique zoning category for it, either PUD or regular
10 -- would make every home virtually nonconforming and we didn't want to do that.
11 So, I said, well, John, it's R-1BB in the County, let's just cannibalize the R-1BB
12 County Zoning District and we're going to call it R-1B. You know, if it is
13 conforming in the County, we'll just adopt it wholesale into the City as a new City
14 zoning category, and that's what we have done, and that is what we are
15 proposing. We liked the idea of PUD because it would have been unique to
16 Huntington Pointe 4, but can't do. So, here we are back before you with the
17 proposed R-1B Zoning, and we do not believe that it impacts negatively any
18 property – any existing property.
19

20 Mr. Schindler stated, if this is adopted, we will then do a wholesale rezoning of all
21 of the properties in Huntington Pointe 4 to R-1B, and at that point, we will notify
22 every property owner, but until then, it continues with its Seminole County R-1BB
23 Zoning and everyone is happy. We have a copy of the Zoning District and we
24 administer it per code.
25

26 Mr. Schindler concluded his presentation by announcing that this hearing is
27 quasi-judicial in nature; that a Quasi-Judicial Sign-In Sheet was located at the
28 rear of the chambers for any interested person to sign and be kept abreast of this
29 matter.
30

31 Juan (John) A. Omana, Jr., Community Development Director, added, when we
32 first got the comments that we would have to get signatures from everybody, let's
33 just say it got my attention considerably, and then the example was given – and I
34 guess it makes sense – that if we got everybody's signature at First Reading and
35 there was either a legal separation or a divorce between First and Second
36 Reading, the whole thing would be shot.
37

38 Mr. Schindler added, not to mention the sales. So, it was an impossible task.
39

40 Mr. Omana commented, so, there we are.
41

42 Chairman Hawkins commented, unless you held the First and Second Reading
43 on the same night.

1 Mr. Schindler said, the statutes don't let us do that.

2
3 Member Cartmill asked, since you do have a new zoning classification, will there
4 ever be a time someone will try to use it to their advantage?

5
6 Mr. Schindler questioned Member Cartmill, you mean for other properties?

7
8 Member Cartmill answered, yes.

9
10 Mr. Schindler responded, it is definitely possible because it will be just like any
11 other zoning category. Anyone could request it. Whether it is approved is
12 another question.

13
14 Chairman Hawkins asked, are there any other outlying properties on the
15 boundary of the City that are potential...

16
17 Mr. Schindler interjected replying, a rule of thumb is we don't annex residential.
18 We go after nonresidential property because it pays more in taxes than it
19 consumes and cost of services.

20
21 Chairman Hawkins opened the hearing to public comment. Hearing none, he
22 closed that portion and entertained board discussion and/or a motion.

23
24 **MOTION:**

25
26 **Member Cartmill moved to recommend approval to the Mayor and City**
27 **Commission the request by City of Lake Mary/Community Development**
28 **Department for a Zoning Text Amendment regarding the adoption of a new R-**
29 **1B Single Family Zoning District, consistent with staff's Findings of Fact**
30 **listed in the Staff Report. Member Miller seconded the motion. Member**
31 **Napier was absent. The motion carried unanimously 5-0.**

32
33 Mr. Omana announced that this item will move forward to the City Commission's
34 December cycle.

35
36 VIII. Community Development Director's Report

37
38 Juan (John) A. Omana, Jr., Community Development Director, reported on actions
taken at the November 19, 2009, City Commission meeting.

39
40 Mr. Omana also informed the Board that the City is currently pursuing the EAR-
41 based amendments to the City's Comprehensive Plan as a requirement of law, and
that will be going forward to the City Commission possibly in the January cycle.

1 Mr. Omana, on another front, said that staff is currently working with ACI to produce
2 the Downtown design guidelines, as it is staff's intent to move forward to the City
3 Commission meeting of January 21, 2009, to have the City Commission officially
4 accept those Master Plans, as well as the design guidelines. Where staff will go
5 from there then is to request authorization from the City Commission to allow staff
6 to go ahead and incorporate those guidelines into the City's zoning code through a
7 ZTA that will come back before the Planning and Zoning Board as a
8 review/recommendation to the City Commission.

9 Mr. Omana, on a related matter, stated that last week he had an opportunity to
10 attend the MetroPlan Commuter Rail meeting spearheaded by Mayor Crotty and
11 Mayor Dyer and Commissioner Henley and their colleagues, and basically the
12 radar screen is hoping for a December 7, 2009, special session up in the
13 Legislature where hopefully the issue of the indemnification/insurance will be
14 clarified to the extent that they will give us the green light on the Commuter Rail
15 project. He said that he will keep the Board posted on this. He stated that if it does
16 pass, it is his opinion that is really going to push forward a couple of projects that
17 have been on the shelf waiting; between the commuter rail and what has been
18 going on with Mr. Shaw and that development program, some good things are
19 happening.

20 Mr. Omana then announced that the City will be getting a new LED sign at City Hall
21 next week that will help identify a lot of the City events in the Downtown area and
22 directing everybody towards this way.

23 Mr. Omana concluded, wishing the Board a Happy Thanksgiving and a nice holiday
24 season.

25 Member Miller questioned Mr. Omana if he understood how the Commuter Rail
26 connects to the High Speed Rail?

27 Mr. Omana responded, what I do know is the Feds. have basically said, if you want
28 your high speed, you better get your Commuter Rail onboard. I haven't seen the
29 details of it, but it makes sense though. You will have your Commuter feeding into
30 the High Speed hub and taking it from there to the bigger cities or locales.

31 Member Miller commented, but nothing I have ever seen has anything to do with
32 connecting the Commuter Rail into a High Speed Rail, and how do you link the two,
33 and where does that happen.

34 Mr. Omana said, well, apparently, that's what the Feds. want. They want the two
35 inter-connected and we hope it works out.

1 Steve Noto, Planner, added that he attends, on behalf of the Community
2 Development Department, monthly some other MetroPlan meetings, the
3 Transportation Technical Committee, and it seems like every month they show us
4 different long-range plans/transportation ideas, and one of those was an
5 interconnection plan. He stated, one of the ideas they have is that there will be a
6 connectivity between this potential High-Speed Rail and the Commuter Rail at the
7 airport and in just that general area of 528, 417, I-4, that whole area with the key
8 motor, if you will, being Disney where the High-Speed – there will be a lot of
9 participation from Disney and partnership to have a nice hub there for the
10 Commuter Rail, the High-Speed and other bus transportation, but since we have
11 yet to get funding for the High-Speed, it is all still very preliminary.

12 Member Miller questioned, will High-Speed and Commuter run on the same tracks?

13 Mr. Noto answered negatively.

14 Chairman Hawkins suggested to dig a canal from Cape Canaveral to Disney so the
15 cruise ships can dock at Disney (laughter).

16 Member Miller commented, I like that idea. Do it with fresh water.

17 IX. Other Business

18 The Board agreed to not cancel the December 22, 2009, Planning and Zoning
19 Board meeting in case there were any items that came forward.

20 Chairman Hawkins asked about the LED sign and zoning in progress.

21 Juan (John) A. Omana, Jr., Community Development Director, answered, the City
22 Commission declared a zoning in progress and gave us direction to pursue code
23 modifications, and subsequent to that, we received some information from the City
24 Attorney where a Resolution could potentially address that whole issue albeit
25 declaring some whereas clauses and not having to go back and change the Code.
26 So, either way we do have a zoning in progress and we have a number of options
27 to make sure it protects our interests and the integrity of the Code.

28 Chairman Hawkins commented, okay, because I see these LED signs going up all
29 over Seminole County and some of them are just TVs. That is just the way they are
30 used.

31 Mr. Omana said, oh, yes. Yes. And, we have those issues that will be addressed.

32 X. Reports of Other Members

1 None

2

3 XI. Adjournment

4

5 The meeting was adjourned at 6:43 p.m.

6

7

8

9

10 _____
Dr. Robert Hawkins, Chairman

Diana T. Adams, Administrative
Secretary

11

12